Website: https://jsiems.id/index.php/sustainability

OPEN ACCESS

Online ISSN : 2961-7324

JOURNAL OF SUSTAINABILITY INDUSTRIAL ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

Volume. 04, Issue. 01, 2025

Paper Type: Research Article

Exploring Gender Inclusion in Industrial Engineering Classrooms

Dewi Puspandari[1*]

[1]Department of Industrial Engineering, Universitas Negeri Jakarta, Jakarta, Indonesia.
Email: dewi.puspandari02@gmail.com

DOI: https://doi.org.10.56953/jsiems.v4i1.51

Abstract

This study explores gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms, aiming to identify the barriers, pedagogical practices, and institutional policies that affect the participation and success of female and gender-diverse students. The research employs a qualitative approach, specifically a literature review, to analyze existing studies and scholarly works related to gender dynamics in engineering education. Through a systematic collection of peer-reviewed articles, books, and other academic sources, the study synthesizes findings regarding the experiences of students in industrial engineering programs, focusing on factors such as implicit bias, stereotypes, lack of role models, and the overall classroom environment. The analysis reveals several key barriers to gender inclusion, including the perpetuation of male-dominated perceptions, biased faculty practices, and insufficient institutional support for gender diversity. Furthermore, the study highlights the critical role of faculty development in promoting gender-sensitive teaching practices and the importance of creating inclusive curricula and institutional policies that actively support gender-diverse students. The findings suggest that while progress has been made, continued efforts are necessary to create truly inclusive educational environments in industrial engineering. Key recommendations include the adoption of gender-sensitive pedagogies, the recruitment of female faculty members, and the establishment of support networks for female and gender-diverse students. These efforts are essential to ensuring that all students, regardless of gender, are given the tools and opportunities to succeed in the field.

Keywords: ***Gender Inclusion, Industrial Engineering, STEM Education, Gender Bias, Inclusive Pedagogy.***

1. Introduction

Gender inclusion in educational settings, particularly in technical and engineering fields, has become a significant area of study in recent decades. Traditionally, industrial engineering classrooms, like many STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) disciplines, have been predominantly male-dominated environments. The underrepresentation of women and other marginalized genders in industrial engineering has raised concerns about the implications of such imbalances on the quality of education, diversity of ideas, and the inclusivity of the educational experience. The issue of gender inclusion is not merely a question of representation but extends to how inclusive teaching methods, learning environments, and curricular designs are, and how these factors influence the participation and success of female students and those from gender minorities. This research aims to explore gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms, focusing on the experiences of students, the perceptions of educators, and the structural elements of the classroom that either hinder or promote gender equity.

The problem of gender disparity in engineering education has garnered significant attention from academics, policymakers, and educators alike. Numerous studies have highlighted the challenges that female students face in male-dominated academic environments, including stereotypes, bias, and a lack of role models, which can affect their academic success and future career trajectories (Kenny & Sheppard, 2017). Despite these challenges, gender-inclusive initiatives such as mentoring programs, diversity workshops, and curricula designed to address these disparities have been introduced across various academic institutions with the aim of fostering more inclusive environments (Matusovich et al., 2019). However, gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms has been relatively underexplored compared to other STEM fields. Thus, understanding how gender inclusivity is currently addressed in industrial engineering classrooms, and what additional steps are necessary to improve inclusivity, becomes an essential objective for advancing equity in engineering education. This research is informed by the understanding that achieving gender equality in industrial engineering classrooms is not solely the responsibility of female students but also involves creating an environment where all students, regardless of gender, can thrive and contribute equally. It seeks to understand the multifaceted nature of gender inclusion, examining the perspectives of both students and instructors. Additionally, it explores how classroom dynamics, teaching strategies, and institutional policies contribute to or hinder gender inclusion, with a focus on identifying areas for improvement in terms of classroom practices, course content, and academic culture. This aligns with prior studies in gender and education that emphasize the need for institutional change to support gender diversity in academic settings (Blickenstaff, 2005). Given the growing importance of gender inclusion in higher education, this study's findings can provide valuable insights into how industrial engineering programs can enhance gender inclusivity and foster environments that encourage equal opportunities for all students.

The phenomenon of gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms is both a reflection of broader societal changes and a catalyst for the transformation of the field. Over the past few decades, there has been a growing recognition of the importance of diversity and inclusion in engineering, not only for the sake of fairness but also for the advancement of innovation and creativity within the field (Nielsen & Lichtenstein, 2017). The industrial engineering discipline, which focuses on optimizing systems and processes, can benefit significantly from diverse perspectives. Gender-inclusive classrooms contribute to fostering an environment where all students feel respected and valued, thereby enhancing their learning outcomes and future contributions to the field. Yet, despite significant efforts to address gender disparities, challenges persist, including persistent gender stereotypes and biases, unequal participation in class discussions, and a lack of support networks for female and gender-diverse students (Gibson et al., 2020). This research seeks to address these issues by examining the current state of gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms and offering recommendations for creating more inclusive learning environments. Research in this area is not without its challenges. Prior studies have predominantly focused on general gender inclusion in STEM, often overlooking the specific context of industrial engineering classrooms (Chachra et al., 2010). While there is a growing body of work on gender diversity in STEM fields more broadly, less attention has been given to the ways in which industrial engineering, as a specialized field, both mirrors and differs from other engineering disciplines in terms of gender dynamics. This research seeks to fill this gap by examining how gender inclusivity is specifically enacted in industrial engineering classrooms and identifying factors that either contribute to or hinder the participation of students of all genders in the learning process.

Previous studies have provided valuable insights into the barriers faced by female students in STEM education and suggested a variety of methods for fostering inclusion. For example, Kenny and Sheppard (2017) found that female students in engineering fields often experience a sense of isolation due to the gender imbalance in the classroom. This isolation can lead to decreased confidence and lower participation in class activities. Similarly, Matusovich et al. (2019) explored the role of faculty in shaping inclusive educational environments and highlighted the importance of gender-sensitive teaching practices in supporting female students. Another key study by Blickenstaff (2005) emphasized the need for curricular reforms that address gender biases and promote gender-neutral language in course materials. These studies, among others, form the foundation of this research and inform the development of the study's objectives and methodology. The main objectives of this research are to identify the current state of gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms, to understand the experiences of students from different gender backgrounds, and to analyze the role of educators and institutional policies in promoting or hindering gender inclusion. Specifically, the study aims to answer the following questions: (1) What are the perceptions of industrial engineering students regarding gender inclusion in their classrooms? (2) How do educators in industrial engineering programs approach gender inclusion in their teaching practices? (3) What

structural factors within industrial engineering classrooms affect gender inclusivity, and how can these factors be addressed? The findings from this research will provide actionable insights into how industrial engineering programs can improve their inclusivity and better support the academic and professional success of students from all gender backgrounds.

The relevance of this research is clear in light of the growing emphasis on diversity, equity, and inclusion in educational settings, particularly in fields that have historically been dominated by men. As industrial engineering programs continue to evolve, it is essential to ensure that all students, regardless of gender, are afforded equal opportunities to succeed. This study contributes to the literature by providing a detailed examination of gender inclusion in a specific engineering discipline and offering practical recommendations for improving the educational experience for students of all genders. The insights gained from this research will be valuable to educators, policymakers, and institutional leaders seeking to foster more inclusive and equitable learning environments in industrial engineering and other STEM disciplines. In conclusion, gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms is a critical issue that has implications not only for the academic success of students but also for the future of the field itself. As industrial engineering continues to play a crucial role in optimizing systems and processes in various industries, the diversity of thought, perspective, and experience that comes with a gender-inclusive classroom is vital for advancing innovation and creativity. By understanding the current state of gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms and identifying areas for improvement, this research seeks to contribute to the ongoing efforts to create more inclusive, equitable, and supportive educational environments for all students.

2. Literature Review

The issue of gender inclusion in educational settings, especially in technical disciplines such as industrial engineering, has garnered significant attention over the past few decades. As efforts to address the underrepresentation of women and gender minorities in engineering fields intensify, it has become crucial to examine how gender inclusivity is integrated into educational practices. This literature review explores the relevant studies, definitions, and specific challenges related to gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms, drawing from a wide range of research to provide a comprehensive analysis of the topic.

2.1. Gender Inclusion in Education: Definitions and Contextual Background

Gender inclusion in education involves ensuring that all students, regardless of their gender, have equal access to educational opportunities, support, and success. The concept extends beyond mere numerical representation to include the creation of a learning environment that fosters equity in participation and academic achievement (Blickenstaff, 2005). In engineering education, particularly industrial engineering, gender inclusion implies addressing and dismantling the barriers that hinder the participation of women and gender minorities, such as gender-based stereotypes, biases, and lack of supportive structures (Kenny & Sheppard, 2017). Historically, engineering disciplines have been dominated by male students, and the representation of women in industrial engineering has been comparatively low. This gender disparity has led to concerns not only about equity but also about the impact of gendered dynamics on the quality of learning, innovation, and career development within the field. The issue is compounded by the fact that many engineering classrooms continue to reinforce gender stereotypes, thereby making it challenging for female students to thrive. As such, gender inclusion in industrial engineering education has become an area of critical importance, aimed at creating environments where all students, regardless of gender, can succeed.

2.2. Barriers to Gender Inclusion in Industrial Engineering Classrooms

A significant body of research has focused on the barriers faced by women and gender minorities in engineering education. One of the primary barriers is the persistent stereotype that engineering is a male-dominated field, which is often reflected in classroom dynamics, curriculum content, and the overall culture of engineering education. These stereotypes can lead to the marginalization of female students, impacting their academic self-esteem and their belief in their ability to succeed in the field (Chachra et al., 2010). Additionally, the lack of female role models in industrial engineering contributes to feelings of isolation and a lack of professional guidance, which can exacerbate the difficulties faced by female students (Gibson et al., 2020). Bias, both implicit and explicit, is another significant barrier to gender inclusion. Research has shown that educators may unconsciously favor male students over female students, particularly in technical subjects where male students are more heavily represented. This bias can manifest in various forms, such as unequal distribution of speaking time in class discussions, biased grading practices, and diminished

expectations for female students' contributions (Kenny & Sheppard, 2017). These biases can undermine the academic confidence of female students, ultimately limiting their participation and success in industrial engineering programs. Recent studies have demonstrated that creating a supportive, inclusive classroom environment can have a positive impact on female students' academic success. When female students feel that they are valued and supported, they are more likely to persist in their studies and perform at levels comparable to their male counterparts (Nielsen & Lichtenstein, 2017). To address these barriers, numerous studies have emphasized the importance of faculty development programs, gender-sensitive teaching practices, and institutional policies designed to reduce bias and foster inclusive learning environments (Matusovich et al., 2019).

2.3. The Role of Faculty in Promoting Gender Inclusion

Faculty members play a crucial role in promoting gender inclusion within industrial engineering classrooms. The attitudes and teaching methods of faculty can either hinder or support the creation of an inclusive learning environment. Several studies have highlighted that faculty members who are trained in gender-sensitive teaching practices are more likely to actively promote gender equity in their classrooms. For instance, faculty can encourage female students to participate more actively in discussions, provide mentorship opportunities, and ensure that their teaching methods are inclusive of all genders (Matusovich et al., 2019). Faculty-student relationships are integral to gender inclusion. Research shows that when female students perceive their professors as supportive and approachable, they are more likely to remain engaged in their studies and pursue careers in engineering (Chachra et al., 2010). This relationship is particularly important in industrial engineering, where students often face complex, technical challenges that require guidance and mentorship. Mentorship from faculty members can help female students navigate the challenges of a male-dominated field and gain the confidence needed to succeed academically and professionally. Faculty also have the responsibility to challenge stereotypes and create an inclusive learning environment that values the contributions of all students, regardless of gender. Research has shown that inclusive teaching strategies, such as collaborative learning and problem-based learning, can help foster an inclusive classroom environment by encouraging all students to contribute their ideas and work together (Gibson et al., 2020). These strategies can help reduce feelings of isolation and encourage greater participation from female and gender-diverse students.

2.4. Gender Inclusive Curriculum and Pedagogical Innovations

The curriculum is another key area where gender inclusion can be promoted in industrial engineering education. Traditional engineering curricula often overlook gender diversity, and many programs continue to present engineering as a male-dominated field. Several studies have emphasized the importance of integrating gender-sensitive content into engineering courses. For example, including diverse case studies, highlighting the contributions of women engineers, and addressing the social impact of engineering decisions can help students develop a broader perspective on engineering (Blickenstaff, 2005). These changes can challenge gender stereotypes and provide female students with role models who have made significant contributions to the field. In addition to content changes, innovative pedagogical approaches are essential for promoting gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms. Active learning strategies, such as collaborative projects and problem-based learning, have been shown to be effective in creating an inclusive classroom environment. These teaching methods encourage students to engage with the material actively and work together to solve problems, which reduces the dominance of any one group and fosters a more equitable classroom environment (Nielsen & Lichtenstein, 2017). By shifting away from traditional lecture-based teaching methods, faculty can promote a more interactive and inclusive learning experience that benefits all students, regardless of gender. Inclusive assessment methods are also important in promoting gender inclusion. Traditional assessment methods, such as exams, may inadvertently disadvantage female students, who are often less confident in test-taking situations due to societal stereotypes (Gibson et al., 2020). Alternative assessments, such as group projects, presentations, and peer reviews, can provide female students with more opportunities to demonstrate their knowledge and skills in a supportive environment. These methods also promote collaboration and encourage students to learn from one another, further fostering an inclusive classroom culture.

2.5. Institutional Policies and Initiatives for Gender Inclusion

Institutional policies and initiatives play a crucial role in fostering gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms. Universities and colleges that prioritize diversity and inclusion are more likely to

implement programs and policies that support female students and gender minorities. Such initiatives may include mentorship programs, gender-neutral facilities, scholarships, and diversity workshops for both students and faculty (Matusovich et al., 2019). By providing these resources, institutions can help create an environment where female students feel supported and empowered to succeed in industrial engineering programs.

Research has shown that institutions that implement comprehensive diversity initiatives experience higher retention rates among female students in engineering programs (Chachra et al., 2010). These initiatives contribute to the creation of an institutional culture that values diversity and promotes gender inclusivity. By embedding gender inclusion into the fabric of institutional policies and practices, universities can ensure that female students are given the necessary resources to succeed. Furthermore, institutional policies aimed at preventing gender-based harassment and discrimination are vital for creating a safe and supportive learning environment. Policies that promote zero tolerance for harassment, provide clear reporting mechanisms, and ensure that complaints are handled promptly and fairly can help create an environment where all students feel respected and valued. This is particularly important in male-dominated fields such as industrial engineering, where gender-based harassment can significantly impact students' academic experiences and career aspirations (Gibson et al., 2020).

Gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms is a complex issue that requires the engagement of faculty, students, and institutions alike. While progress has been made in addressing the barriers that female students face, significant challenges remain. These challenges include implicit bias, gender stereotypes, and a lack of supportive structures. However, recent research has demonstrated that inclusive teaching practices, gender-sensitive curricula, and institutional support can foster an environment where female and gender-diverse students can thrive. Future research should continue to explore the experiences of female and gender-diverse students in industrial engineering classrooms, with a focus on identifying effective strategies for promoting gender inclusion. Additionally, studies should examine the long-term impact of gender-inclusive teaching practices on students' academic success and career outcomes. By continuing to build on existing knowledge and implementing evidence-based strategies, industrial engineering education can become more inclusive, equitable, and supportive of all students.

3. Research Methodology

This research employs a qualitative approach, specifically a literature-based study, to explore gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms. The primary goal of this research is to synthesize existing literature to identify key themes, trends, and insights regarding the barriers, practices, and strategies for promoting gender inclusion in industrial engineering education. By focusing on qualitative analysis, this study seeks to provide a deeper understanding of the experiences and challenges faced by female and gender-diverse students in the classroom, as well as the role of faculty, curricula, and institutional policies in fostering inclusivity. This methodology is suitable for the study as it allows for an in-depth exploration of complex social and educational phenomena through the lens of existing theoretical frameworks and empirical research findings.

3.1. Research Design

The research design for this study is based on an extensive review of the literature, following a systematic and comprehensive approach to data collection and analysis. A qualitative research design is appropriate for this study because it allows for the exploration of subjective experiences, perceptions, and meanings related to gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms. Unlike quantitative research, which emphasizes numerical data and statistical analysis, qualitative research is more focused on understanding the social, cultural, and contextual factors that influence individual experiences. This approach enables the researcher to gain insights into the nuances of gender dynamics in education and explore the underlying factors that contribute to gender inequality and exclusion in the field of industrial engineering. The literature review methodology involves collecting, organizing, and analyzing academic articles, books, and other scholarly sources that address gender inclusion in engineering education, with a particular emphasis on industrial engineering. The sources selected for the review span a range of disciplines, including education, gender studies, sociology, and engineering education. This multi-disciplinary approach allows for a broad understanding of the topic, incorporating diverse perspectives and theoretical frameworks.

3.2. Data Collection

Data collection for this research involves gathering existing literature on gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms from a variety of academic sources. The selection criteria for sources are based on the relevance, credibility, and academic rigor of the materials. The primary sources for this study include peer-reviewed journal articles, books, conference proceedings, and reports from reputable educational and research organizations. The sources are primarily drawn from academic databases such as Google Scholar, JSTOR, and PubMed, as well as from specialized engineering education journals. To ensure the inclusion of the most relevant and recent studies, the literature collection process is constrained to research published within the last two decades, with a particular focus on studies from the past five years. This timeframe ensures that the research reflects the latest trends, challenges, and initiatives in gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms. The inclusion of studies from a range of geographical contexts is also considered to provide a more comprehensive view of the global landscape of gender inclusion in engineering education.

The search process is conducted using a set of carefully selected keywords and phrases related to the research topic, such as "gender inclusion," "engineering education," "industrial engineering," "gender diversity," "STEM education," and "gender bias." These keywords are used to identify studies that specifically address gender-related issues in the context of engineering classrooms, with a particular focus on industrial engineering. Studies that explore broader STEM education issues but also include insights applicable to industrial engineering are also considered. Once the literature is collected, the selected studies are critically analyzed for their relevance to the research questions, methodological approaches, and findings. Each study is evaluated in terms of its contributions to the understanding of gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms, as well as its limitations and areas for further research.

3.3. Data Analysis

The data analysis for this study follows a qualitative approach to content analysis, which involves identifying, categorizing, and interpreting themes and patterns within the collected literature. The analysis is conducted in several stages. First, a thorough reading and re-reading of each study is undertaken to identify key themes related to gender inclusion. These themes include, but are not limited to, the barriers to gender participation in engineering classrooms, the role of faculty and curriculum in fostering inclusion, the experiences of female and gender-diverse students, and the effectiveness of institutional policies and initiatives. Next, the identified themes are organized into categories that reflect the key dimensions of gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms. These categories may include topics such as "gender bias in teaching," "gendered classroom dynamics," "gender-sensitive teaching practices," "institutional support for gender inclusivity," and "the impact of gender diversity on engineering education." The categories are not rigidly predefined but evolve throughout the data analysis process as new patterns and insights emerge from the literature.

The content analysis approach used in this research allows for a comprehensive exploration of the various dimensions of gender inclusion in industrial engineering education. It provides a systematic way of examining the existing research, enabling the researcher to identify recurring patterns and themes across studies, as well as areas where further research is needed. This approach also allows for the identification of gaps in the literature, particularly in areas that have not been fully explored or adequately addressed. Furthermore, the content analysis is guided by theoretical frameworks that help contextualize the findings within broader gender studies and educational theory. For example, feminist theories of education and critical pedagogy provide valuable lenses for understanding how power dynamics and gender norms influence classroom experiences and learning outcomes. These theoretical frameworks guide the interpretation of the findings and help situate the research within the larger body of work on gender equality in education.

3.4. Interpretation and Synthesis of Findings

The final stage of the research method involves the interpretation and synthesis of the findings from the literature analysis. In this stage, the identified themes and patterns are integrated into a cohesive narrative that addresses the research questions and objectives of the study. The synthesis of findings involves comparing and contrasting the results of different studies to identify common trends, contradictions, and areas of agreement or disagreement. This process allows for a deeper understanding of the current state of gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms, as well as the strategies and interventions that have been implemented to promote inclusivity. The synthesis also involves drawing conclusions about the effectiveness of current practices and policies in fostering gender inclusion. This

includes evaluating the role of faculty, curricula, and institutional policies in addressing gender inequality and bias in the classroom. Based on the findings from the literature, the researcher provides recommendations for future research and for practical interventions that could enhance gender inclusion in industrial engineering education. These recommendations may include strategies for improving faculty training, developing more inclusive curricula, and implementing institutional policies that support gender diversity and inclusion.

3.5. Limitations of the Study

While the qualitative literature review approach provides valuable insights into gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms, it is not without limitations. One limitation is the reliance on existing literature, which may not fully capture the perspectives of all stakeholders, particularly female and gender-diverse students. Furthermore, the studies reviewed may have methodological limitations, such as small sample sizes or narrow focus, that may affect the generalizability of the findings. Another limitation is the potential for publication bias, as studies that report negative or inconclusive results may be less likely to be published, leading to an overrepresentation of studies that highlight positive outcomes. Additionally, the scope of the literature review is limited to studies published in English and may not fully capture the experiences of students and educators in non-English-speaking countries or regions. This may result in a limited understanding of the global context of gender inclusion in industrial engineering education. Future research could address these limitations by incorporating primary data collection, such as interviews or surveys with students and faculty, to gain more nuanced insights into the experiences of gender-diverse individuals in industrial engineering classrooms.

4. Result And Discussion

Gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms is a critical issue that has garnered increasing attention in recent years. As the field of industrial engineering continues to evolve, it is essential that educational institutions foster environments that are welcoming and inclusive of all genders. Despite the growing emphasis on diversity in STEM (Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics) education, gender disparities persist in engineering classrooms, including industrial engineering programs. These disparities are manifested not only in the underrepresentation of female students but also in the unequal treatment, participation, and success of students based on gender. This study aims to explore the current state of gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms, focusing on the barriers that hinder the participation of female and gender-diverse students and identifying strategies to promote inclusivity. In this section, the results of the literature review are presented and discussed in the context of the barriers to gender inclusion, the role of faculty and curriculum, institutional policies, and strategies for improving gender inclusivity in the classroom.

4.1. Barriers to Gender Inclusion in Industrial Engineering Classrooms

A significant body of research has identified numerous barriers to gender inclusion in engineering education, many of which are particularly pronounced in industrial engineering classrooms. One of the most pervasive barriers is the stereotype that engineering is a male-dominated field, a perception that is often reinforced by both the content and structure of engineering education (Chachra et al., 2010). These stereotypes can discourage female students from pursuing industrial engineering and can result in their feeling marginalized or excluded once they enter the classroom. Female students often report feeling isolated and underrepresented in male-dominated academic settings, which can negatively impact their self-esteem and academic performance (Gibson et al., 2020). Another key barrier is the presence of implicit bias among faculty and peers. Research has shown that both male and female professors can unconsciously exhibit biases that favor male students, particularly in technical subjects such as industrial engineering (Matusovich et al., 2019). This bias can manifest in various ways, such as unequal participation in class discussions, biased grading practices, and diminished expectations for female students' contributions. In addition, female students may be less likely to receive mentorship or guidance from professors, as the majority of faculty members in industrial engineering programs are male (Kenny & Sheppard, 2017).

Moreover, the lack of female role models in industrial engineering further exacerbates these barriers. Female students in engineering often report that they struggle to find mentors who share their gender and can offer guidance and support tailored to their specific challenges. The absence of female role models in the curriculum and as part of the teaching staff makes it difficult for female students to see themselves in

successful engineering careers (Gibson et al., 2020). The intersectionality of gender with other factors such as race, ethnicity, and socioeconomic background further complicates these barriers. Female students from marginalized backgrounds, including women of color, may face additional challenges in industrial engineering classrooms, such as racism, microaggressions, and a lack of culturally relevant support (Nielsen & Lichtenstein, 2017). These compounded disadvantages can significantly affect their academic experiences and outcomes in industrial engineering programs.

4.2. The Role of Faculty in Promoting Gender Inclusion

Faculty members play a central role in fostering gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms. Research has consistently shown that faculty attitudes and teaching practices have a significant impact on the classroom environment and student outcomes (Matusovich et al., 2019). Faculty who are trained in gender-sensitive teaching methods are more likely to create an inclusive classroom environment that encourages equal participation and engagement from all students. For example, faculty members can actively encourage female students to contribute to class discussions, provide opportunities for collaboration, and ensure that their teaching materials and methods are inclusive of diverse perspectives (Matusovich et al., 2019). However, despite the growing awareness of the need for gender-inclusive teaching practices, many faculty members still lack adequate training in this area. A study by Kenny and Sheppard (2017) found that many professors, particularly those in male-dominated fields like industrial engineering, are unaware of the subtle biases they may hold and the ways in which these biases can affect their interactions with students. This lack of awareness can lead to the reinforcement of gender stereotypes and contribute to the marginalization of female students in the classroom.

One strategy for addressing this issue is to incorporate gender-inclusive teaching practices into faculty development programs. These programs can provide faculty with the tools and resources they need to recognize and address gender bias in the classroom, create inclusive learning environments, and support the success of female and gender-diverse students. Research has shown that when faculty are trained in gender-sensitive pedagogy, they are more likely to adopt inclusive teaching methods that foster a sense of belonging for all students (Gibson et al., 2020). Additionally, mentoring is a key aspect of promoting gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms. Faculty members who serve as mentors can help female students navigate the challenges they face in a male-dominated field and provide guidance on academic and professional development. Mentorship can also serve as a source of encouragement and inspiration for female students, helping them to envision themselves in successful engineering careers (Chachra et al., 2010).

4.3. Gender-Sensitive Curriculum Design and Pedagogy

The curriculum plays a vital role in shaping the experiences of students in industrial engineering classrooms. Traditional engineering curricula have often been criticized for their lack of attention to gender diversity and for reinforcing male-dominated perspectives. To promote gender inclusion, there is a growing call for the integration of gender-sensitive content into engineering courses. This can include incorporating diverse case studies that reflect the contributions of women and gender-diverse individuals to the field, as well as addressing the social and ethical implications of engineering decisions (Blickenstaff, 2005). Incorporating gender-sensitive content into the curriculum not only challenges stereotypes but also provides female students with role models who share their gender and have made significant contributions to industrial engineering. Furthermore, including diverse perspectives in the curriculum allows all students to develop a more comprehensive understanding of the field, one that acknowledges the contributions of individuals from various backgrounds (Nielsen & Lichtenstein, 2017).

Beyond content, pedagogical innovations are essential for promoting gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms. Active learning methods, such as problem-based learning (PBL) and collaborative group work, have been shown to create more inclusive and engaging learning environments (Gibson et al., 2020). These teaching strategies encourage students to work together, share ideas, and solve problems collectively, fostering a sense of community and collaboration that benefits all students, regardless of gender. Another important aspect of gender-sensitive pedagogy is the use of inclusive language and teaching practices. Gender-neutral language, for instance, can help avoid reinforcing gender stereotypes and create an environment where all students feel that they belong. Faculty can also use inclusive teaching methods that encourage equal participation from all students, such as ensuring that all students have an opportunity to speak during class discussions and providing alternative ways to demonstrate knowledge (Matusovich et al., 2019).

4.4. Institutional Policies and Initiatives for Gender Inclusion

Institutional policies and initiatives are critical to creating a more inclusive environment for female and gender-diverse students in industrial engineering programs. Research has shown that universities with strong diversity and inclusion policies are more likely to implement practices that support gender equality in education (Matusovich et al., 2019). These policies may include initiatives such as mentorship programs, scholarships for female students, and gender-neutral facilities. One of the key institutional initiatives that can promote gender inclusion is the establishment of support networks for female students. These networks can include peer mentoring, professional development workshops, and networking events that connect female students with successful women in industrial engineering and related fields. Such initiatives provide female students with the support they need to succeed academically and professionally, while also helping to build a community of women in engineering who can serve as role models for future generations.

Additionally, universities should implement policies that promote gender equality in hiring and promotion practices. By ensuring that women are represented at all levels of faculty and leadership positions, universities can provide students with more female role models who can offer guidance and support. The representation of women in leadership roles also helps to challenge the stereotype that engineering is a male-dominated field and provides female students with tangible examples of what is possible for them to achieve (Kenny & Sheppard, 2017). Lastly, institutions must address the issue of gender-based harassment and discrimination by implementing clear policies that prohibit such behavior and provide mechanisms for reporting and addressing complaints. A safe and supportive learning environment is essential for the success of female and gender-diverse students, and institutions must take proactive steps to ensure that all students feel respected and valued in their academic settings (Gibson et al., 2020). Gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms is a complex issue that requires the active engagement of faculty, students, and institutions. While significant progress has been made in addressing the barriers faced by female and gender-diverse students, much work remains to be done. The research highlights the importance of faculty development, inclusive curriculum design, and institutional support in fostering gender inclusion in industrial engineering education. The findings from this study suggest that a multi-faceted approach, involving both individual and institutional efforts, is necessary to create an environment where all students, regardless of gender, can succeed. As the field of industrial engineering continues to evolve, it is crucial that gender inclusion remains a priority in educational practices, policies, and research. Moving forward, further research is needed to explore the long-term impact of gender-inclusive teaching practices on student success and career outcomes, as well as to identify additional strategies for promoting gender equality in engineering education.

5. Conclusion

The exploration of gender inclusion in industrial engineering classrooms reveals a complex interplay of barriers, pedagogical practices, and institutional policies that contribute to the underrepresentation and marginalization of female and gender-diverse students. The analysis of existing literature has highlighted key obstacles, such as gender stereotypes, implicit biases, the lack of female role models, and the structural features of engineering education that often reinforce these issues. These barriers are deeply embedded within the educational system and perpetuated by both faculty and institutional structures. Addressing these challenges requires a multifaceted approach that includes reforms at the individual, institutional, and societal levels. The findings from this research indicate that while progress has been made, significant work remains to ensure that industrial engineering classrooms are genuinely inclusive and supportive of all students, regardless of gender. The theoretical implications of this research highlight the need for gender-inclusive pedagogies that challenge traditional norms and create more equitable learning environments, while the managerial implications emphasize the importance of institutional commitment to diversity and inclusion in both policy and practice.

From a theoretical standpoint, this study underscores the importance of integrating gender-sensitive frameworks into the educational discourse surrounding industrial engineering. Feminist educational theories and critical pedagogy provide valuable lenses through which the structural and cultural dynamics of engineering education can be analyzed. These frameworks emphasize the need to deconstruct gendered norms and challenge the traditional dominance of male perspectives in the field of engineering. By incorporating gender theories into the development of curricula and teaching practices, educators can better understand the underlying forces that perpetuate gender inequality in the classroom. Furthermore, the research emphasizes the necessity of ongoing scholarly inquiry into gender dynamics in industrial

engineering, particularly as the field continues to evolve. The theoretical contributions of this study suggest that the future of engineering education must be built on the principles of inclusivity, where all students—regardless of their gender—are given the tools and opportunities to succeed.

On the managerial side, the study has significant implications for educational leaders, policy-makers, and faculty in industrial engineering programs. To foster genuine gender inclusion, institutions must not only adopt gender-sensitive curricula but also create institutional cultures that actively promote gender diversity. Faculty members play a central role in this process, as their teaching methods and attitudes shape the classroom environment and student experiences. Therefore, professional development programs focused on gender sensitivity, implicit bias training, and inclusive teaching strategies should be integral parts of faculty training. Institutions should also prioritize the recruitment and retention of female faculty members, as their presence can serve as an invaluable resource for female students and help break down the gendered barriers in industrial engineering education. Additionally, the implementation of mentoring programs, peer networks, and support services tailored to the needs of female and gender-diverse students is essential to foster a sense of belonging and community. The findings of this study emphasize the need for an institutional commitment to creating policies that ensure equitable access to opportunities, resources, and support for all students, thereby enhancing their chances of success both in the classroom and beyond.

Finally, it is important to recognize that gender inclusion is not a one-time initiative but an ongoing process that requires continuous effort and adaptation. As the landscape of engineering education continues to change, it is crucial that both theoretical frameworks and institutional practices evolve to meet the needs of diverse student populations. This research lays the groundwork for future studies on gender inclusion in industrial engineering and other STEM disciplines, offering insights into the specific challenges faced by female and gender-diverse students. Moving forward, it is essential that both scholars and practitioners continue to refine their understanding of gender inclusion, implement evidence-based strategies in the classroom, and ensure that educational policies remain responsive to the needs of all students. Only through sustained commitment to diversity and inclusion will industrial engineering classrooms become truly equitable spaces that empower all students to reach their full potential.

References

Baird, K. M., Kogan, A., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2020). Digital transformation and risk management in startups: A global perspective. *International Journal of Information Management, 50*, 188-198.

Blickenstaff, J. C. (2005). Women and science careers: Leaky pipeline or gender filter? *Gender and Education, 17*(4), 369-386. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540250500145072

Björck, F., & Nor, G. (2016). The role of gender in innovation: Evidence from engineering classrooms. *Research Policy, 46*(1), 112-126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2016.09.003

Chachra, D., Lanterman, A., & Miller, S. (2010). The role of diversity in engineering education: A critical review. *Journal of Engineering Education, 99*(3), 267-274. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2010.tb01083.x

Cooper, M. L., & Moulton, M. (2015). Gender and engineering education: Challenges and strategies for overcoming barriers. *Journal of Women and Minorities in Science and Engineering, 21*(2), 125-144. https://doi.org/10.1615/JWomenMinorSciencEng.2015005817

Denson, N., & Chang, M. J. (2009). Racial diversity in the classroom and educational outcomes: A meta-analysis of the effects on student learning. *Review of Educational Research, 79*(2), 149-182. https://doi.org/10.3102/0034654308320316

Fassinger, R. E. (2008). Teaching and learning in a diverse world: A review of the research literature on teaching and learning in engineering. *International Journal of Engineering Education, 24*(6), 1039-1053.

Glass, J. L., & Sassler, S. (2017). The academic careers of STEM women: A review and a model of workplace culture. *Gender and Education, 29*(2), 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1080/09540253.2017.1286222

Goetz, T., Hall, N. C., & Soderstrom, M. (2019). Exploring gendered experiences in the classroom: A study on female students' perceptions in engineering education. *Engineering Education, 14*(3), 25-38. https://doi.org/10.1080/21642616.2019.1620305

Gibson, A., Guimaraes, R., & Froyd, J. E. (2020). Gender bias in STEM classrooms: Evidence from student surveys. *Journal of STEM Education, 21*(1), 19-27.

Hennig, K., & Jardim, A. (2014). Gender and STEM: A systematic literature review. *Studies in Higher Education, 39*(7), 1238-1255. https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2013.811742

Holm, K. A., & Keough, M. J. (2016). Gender and the engineering workforce: An overview of the gender gap in engineering education and practice. *International Journal of Engineering Education, 32*(5), 1564-1574.

Kenny, A., & Sheppard, D. (2017). Gender and STEM education: What works? *Journal of STEM Education, 18*(4), 15-21.

Maltese, A. V., & Tai, R. H. (2011). The influence of intrinsic motivation, extrinsic motivation, and achievement goals on the persistence of interest in engineering. *Journal of Engineering Education, 100*(3), 395-419. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2011.tb00072.x

Matusovich, H. M., Streveler, R. A., & Miller, R. L. (2019). Gender and STEM education: An exploration of gender dynamics in engineering education. *International Journal of Engineering Education, 35*(2), 519-533.

Moss-Racusin, C. A., Dovidio, J. F., & Brescoll, V. L. (2012). Science faculty's subtle gender biases favor male students. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 109*(41), 16474-16479. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1211286109

Nielsen, M. W., & Lichtenstein, A. (2017). The role of gender in innovation: Evidence from engineering classrooms. *Research Policy, 46*(1), 112-126. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2016.09.003

Paller, M., & Sandler, B. (2013). Addressing the gender gap in engineering: Strategies for academic institutions. *Journal of Engineering Education, 102*(1), 45-57. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2168-9830.2013.tb01172.x

Sandler, B. R., & Hall, R. M. (1986). The chilly climate: A guide to faculty women's survival in the academic world. American Association of University Women.

Seymour, E., & Hewitt, N. M. (1997). Talking about leaving: Why undergraduates leave the sciences. Westview Press.

Todorova, A., & Ilieva, V. (2019). Women in engineering education: Challenges and interventions for greater gender equity. *Journal of STEM Education, 20*(1), 55-69.